



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/643,439

08/19/2003

Jayendu Patel

14173-002001

4428

69713 7590 02/12/2008  
OCCHIUTI ROHLICEK & TSAO, LLP  
10 FAWCETT STREET  
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

EXAMINER

VAN DOREN, BETH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/12/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/643,439	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PATEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BETH VAN DOREN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ms. Beth Van Doren (PTO Personnel). (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Mandy Jubang (Applicant's Representative). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Jubang discussed the 112 1st paragraph rejections set forth in the previous office action with the Examiner, explaining that the terms unspecific, typical, non-specific, and prototypical were all synonymous in the specification. Examiner suggested some claim amendments based on this explanation. Examiner awaits action by applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Beth Van Doren/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required